Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Hong-Mei Zhang, Li-Ping Lu,* Si-Si Feng, Shi-Dong Qin and Miao-Li Zhu*

Institute of Molecular Science, Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Molecular, Engineering of the Education Ministry, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: luliping@sxu.edu.cn, miaoli@sxu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.019 Å R factor = 0.098 wR factor = 0.253 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Di- μ -hydroxo-bis[aqua(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$)-copper(II)] terephthalate octahydrate

The title compound, $[Cu_2(OH)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$ -($C_8H_4O_4$)·8H₂O, was prepared by the hydrothermal reaction of CuCl₂, 1,10-phenanthroline, terephthalic acid and water at 443 K. It consists of a double-hydroxo-bridged dinuclear complex cation [Cu···Cu = 2.911 (3) Å], a terephthalate anion and eight water molecules. Both cation and anion possess inversion symmetry. A network of O–H···O hydrogen bonds stabilizes the structure. Received 21 April 2005 Accepted 26 April 2005 Online 7 May 2005

Comment

In recent years, the design and synthesis of coordination polymers has attracted much attention, due to their potential application in catalysis, ion exchange and gas adsorption (Eddaoudi et al., 2002; Moulton & Zaworotko, 2001). As a multidentate bridging ligand, terephthalic acid (ta^{2-}) has been much used in this field because of its ability to form short metal-metal bridges via one carboxylate end group or long bridges via the intervening benzene ring (Ma et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2003; Yuan et al., 2003). Numerous complexes with the ta^{2-} ligand have been reported (Hagrman *et al.*, 1999; Li et al., 1999; Groenman et al., 1999), while new complexes are constantly being synthesized. We report here another such structure, the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), consisting of an uncoordinated ta^{2-} anion, a $[Cu_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)(OH)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ dinuclear cation and water molecules of crystallization. Both cation and anion are generated by inversion symmetry from the atoms of the asymmetric unit.



In the $[Cu_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)(OH)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation of (I), the Cu^{2+} environment is that of a distorted square pyramid, composed of two phen N atoms and two O atoms of bridging hydroxide groups at the corners of the basal square and a fifth weakly coordinated water molecule at the apical position. Because of inversion symmetry, the two apical water molecules are in a trans conformation. This dinuclear cation is similar to others reported previously (Zheng *et al.*, 2000; Lu *et al.*, 2003, 2004). The Cu···Cu distance of 2.911 (3) Å in (I) is longer than that found in $[Cu_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)(OH)_2(H_2O)_2]$



Figure 1

The structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Unlabelled atoms in the cation and anion are related to labelled atoms by the symmetry operators (1 - x, 2 - y, 2 - z) and (-x, 1 - y, 2 - z), respectively.

[2.902 (1) Å; Zheng et al., 2000; Lu et al., 2004] and shorter than that found [2.933 (1) Å] by Lu et al. (2003).

The hydrogen-bonding geometry in (I) is listed in Table 2 and illustrated in Fig. 2. These $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds link $[Cu_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)(OH)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cations and ta^{2-} anions, as well as uncoordinated water molecules, resulting in a three-dimensional network.

Experimental

All chemicals were of reagent grade and commercially available from the Beijing Chemical Reagents Company of China, and were used without further purification. A mixture of $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (0.0851 g, 0.5 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.0992 g, 0.5 mmol) and H₂O (15 ml) was stirred for 35 min at 323 K. The mixture and terephthalic acid (0.0801 g, 0.5 mmol) were then sealed in a 20 ml Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 443 K for 86 h. After cooling, blue crystals of (I) were recovered.

Crystal data

$[Cu_2(OH)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$ -	Z = 1	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$(C_8H_4O_4) \cdot 8H_2O$ $M_r = 865.78$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ $a = 9.295$ (4) Å $b = 10.639$ (5) Å $c = 11.258$ (5) Å $\alpha = 114.603$ (8)° $\beta = 112.540$ (8)° $\gamma = 95.065$ (8)°	$D_{x_2} = 1$ $D_x = 1.609 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\$\alpha\$ radiation Cell parameters from 279 reflections $\theta = 2.2 - 17.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K Plate, blue $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$	$\begin{array}{c} 08 - H82 \cdots 02^{ii} \\ 07 - H72 \cdots 06^{iii} \\ 07 - H71 \cdots 03^{iv} \\ 06 - H61 \cdots 03^{iii} \\ 05 - H52 \cdots 02^{iv} \\ 05 - H51 \cdots 04^{v} \\ 04 - H41 \cdots 06^{iii} \\ 01 - H13 \cdots 07 \end{array}$
V = 893.2 (7) A ³ Data collection		(v) $x, y, z - 1$.
Bruker SMART 1K CCD area- detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 2000) $T_{min} = 0.785, T_{max} = 0.987$ 4159 measured reflections	2960 independent reflections 1399 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.107$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $I = -28 \Rightarrow 13$	H atoms att ized positions $1.2U_{eq}(C)$]. H Fourier map, r and refined as



Figure 2

The packing of (I), with dashed lines indicating hydrogen bonds.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.098$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.1026P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.253$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.84	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2960 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.59 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
244 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.83 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-O1	1.925 (7)	Cu1-N1	2.024 (9)
Cu1-O1 ¹	1.930 (7)	Cu1-O4	2.427 (9)
Cu1-N2	2.023 (10)		
$O1-Cu1-O1^i$	81.9 (3)	N2-Cu1-N1	84.0 (4)
O1-Cu1-N2	94.7 (4)	O1-Cu1-O4	99.4 (3)
$O1^{1}-Cu1-N2$	167.6 (3)	$O1^{1}-Cu1-O4$	99.3 (3)
O1-Cu1-N1	166.7 (3)	N2-Cu1-O4	93.0 (3)
O1'-Cu1-N1	96.5 (4)	N1-Cu1-O4	93.9 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 2.

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O8−H82···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.84	2.34	2.783 (13)	113
$O7 - H72 \cdot \cdot \cdot O6^{iii}$	0.82	2.08	2.822 (12)	149
$O7 - H71 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{iv}$	0.81	2.24	2.713 (12)	118
O6−H61···O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82	2.17	2.828 (12)	138
$O5-H52\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.82	2.10	2.802 (12)	144
$O5-H51\cdots O4^{v}$	0.82	2.30	3.088 (13)	161
$O4-H41\cdots O6^{iii}$	0.83	2.19	2.983 (13)	160
O1−H13···O7	0.83	1.96	2.756 (11)	159

Symmetry codes: (ii) x + 1, y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (v) x, y, z - 1.

H atoms attached to C atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions (C–H = 0.93 Å) and refined as riding $[U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)]$. H atoms attached to O were located in a difference Fourier map, relocated in idealized positions (O–H = 0.80–0.84 Å) and refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve

structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL/PC* (Sheldrick, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL/PC*.

This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20471033), the Provincial Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province of China (grant No. 20051013) and the Overseas Returned Scholar Foundation of Shanxi Province of China in 2002 for MLZ.

References

- Bruker (2000). *SMART* (Version 5.0) and *SAINT* (Version 6.02). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Eddaoudi, M., Kim, J., Rosi, N., Vodak, D., Wachter, J., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2002). *Science*, **295**, 469–472.

- Groenman, R. H., MacGillivery, L. R. & Atwood, J. L. (1999). *Inorg. Chem.* 38, 208–209.
- Hagrman, D., Hagrman, P. J. & Zubieta, J. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 3165–3168.
- Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (1999). *Nature (London)*, **402**, 276–279.
- Lu, L.-P., Qin, S.-D., Yang, P. & Zhu, M.-L. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m950m952.
- Lu, L.-P., Zhu, M.-L. & Yang, P. (2003). J. Inorg. Biochem. 95, 31-36.
- Ma, C. B., Chen, C. N., Liu, Q. T., Liao, D. Z., Li, L. C. & Sun, L. C. (2003). New J. Chem. 27, 890–894.
- Moulton, B. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2001). Chem. Rev. 101, 1629-1658.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1999). SHELXTL/PC. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yuan, M., Wang, E. B., Lu, Y., Wang, S. T., Li, Y. G., Wang, L. & Hu, C. W. (2003). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 344, 257–261.
- Zhang, X. M., Tong, M. L., Gong, M. L. & Chen, X. M. (2003). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1, 138–142.
- Zheng, Y.-Q., Sun, J. & Lin, J.-L. (2000). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 626, 613-615.